

## Minutes

### CORPORATE, FINANCE AND PROPERTY SELECT COMMITTEE

21 October 2021

Meeting held at Committee Room 6 - Civic Centre,  
High Street, Uxbridge



	<p><b>Committee Members Present:</b> Councillors Richard Mills (Chairman), Lindsay Bliss, Farhad Choubedar, Tony Eginton (Opposition Lead) and Richard Lewis</p> <p><b>Also Present:</b> Councillor Steve Tuckwell (substituting for Councillor Raymond Graham)</p> <p><b>LBH Officers Present:</b> Liam Bentley (Operations Manager, Corporate Housing Repairs and Voids), Alex Coman (Director, Safeguarding, Partnerships and Quality Assurance), Richard Dawson (Head of Community Safety and Enforcement), Kate Kelly-Talbot (Director of Service Delivery - Adult Social Work), Cathy Knubley (Head of Waste Services), Naveed Mohammed (Head of Business Performance &amp; Insight), Liz Penny (Democratic Services Officer), Gary Penticost (Head of Repairs, Engineering, Planned Works and Facilities Management) and Rod Smith (Head of Housing &amp; Tenancy Management)</p>
33.	<p><b>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</b> (<i>Agenda Item 1</i>)</p> <p>Apologies were received from Councillor Vanessa Hurhangee and from Councillor Raymond Graham, with Councillor Steve Tuckwell substituting for the latter.</p>
34.	<p><b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING</b> (<i>Agenda Item 2</i>)</p> <p>There were no declarations of interest.</p>
35.	<p><b>TO RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING DATED 7 SEPTEMBER 2021</b> (<i>Agenda Item 3</i>)</p> <p>Councillor Eginton enquired whether there had been any further progress regarding the accessibility of the Hillingdon Trail as mentioned in the minutes. It was agreed that Democratic Services would follow this up with the Head of Green Spaces, Sport and Culture who had previously confirmed that the option of kissing gates to replace stiles would be explored further.</p> <p>Councillor Eginton requested further clarification regarding Councillors' individual registrations with the ICO as mentioned in the minutes. It was confirmed that an email from the Borough Solicitor had previously been circulated to Members setting out a response on this. Said response was read out to Members and it was agreed that the Borough Solicitor's email would be re-circulated to Members. Any further questions on this were to be sent to the Borough Solicitor directly.</p>

Councillors Eginton noted that the ICO website erroneously indicated that the Council's Data Protection Officer was someone other than Raj Alagh. Democratic Services would investigate this further.

**RESOLVED:**

- 1. That Democratic Services liaise with the Head of Green Spaces, Sport and Culture to establish whether there were any further updates regarding the option of replacing stiles with kissing gates on the Hillingdon Trail to improve accessibility;**
- 2. That Democratic Services re-circulate the email from the Borough Solicitor regarding Councillors' individual registrations with the ICO;**
- 3. That Democratic Services investigate the matter of the named Data Protection Officer on the ICO's website; and**
- 4. That the minutes of the meeting dated 7 September 2021 be approved.**

36. **TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED AS PART I WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS MARKED AS PART II WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE** (*Agenda Item 4*)

It was confirmed that all items of business were in Part I and would be considered in public.

37. **REVIEW: PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND REPORTING IN HILLINGDON COUNCIL** (*Agenda Item 5*)

Officers present were invited to set out how data was used within their departments and identify any gaps or possible areas for improvement.

Alex Coman, Director – Safeguarding, Partnerships and QA, addressed the Committee advising Members that, in Children's Services, there were a number of statutory duties in terms of performance, collection of the data and reporting at a national level. Data was used both to look at performance retrospectively and to predict future demand on services and resources. It was confirmed that Children's Services were one of the biggest users of data within the Council and the department received more than 20,000 contacts annually. There were statutory requirements to report the data nationally, share data locally and Pan-London and benchmark Hillingdon against other boroughs. Additionally, data was used for specific projects – for example to respond to particular pressures on the service.

In response to their requests for clarification, Members heard that a large amount of data was received by the Children's Services Department; however, this data was not in a user-friendly format and had to be manipulated manually. In terms of future projections, Children's Services worked with Naveed's team to look at trends. The Performance Team then manually produced predictive models on an ad hoc basis. Members heard that officers would like to be able to receive the data in a more user-friendly format – a number of options had been discussed with the Performance Team about various dashboard options etc. It was reported that it would be helpful if users could have control of the data themselves thereby negating the need to ask for manual ad hoc reports. It was confirmed that the Children's Services Team regularly received automatic reports which were very helpful; however, weekly reports were run on a

Sunday therefore, as the week progressed, the data became increasingly out-of-date – access to ‘live’ data would be preferable. The Committee heard that other boroughs used dashboards effectively to assist with their analysis. Naveed’s Team did the best they could with the software available to them, but better software was available elsewhere.

Members commented that the 20,000 contacts received by the Children’s Services Department annually seemed very high. It was confirmed that every single contact received via OneFrontDoor was recorded in the same system hence the high numbers. The Committee observed that the statistical department at Brunel University could potentially assist with analysis, but it was noted that this would be complicated due to GDPR considerations.

Members enquired how the accuracy of data within Children’s Services was determined. The Committee was informed that weekly, monthly and statutory reports were regularly spot checked for accuracy by officers in Children’s Services. In terms of ad hoc reporting, officers relied on their colleagues in the Performance Team to ensure the accuracy of the data. Any anomalies would be discussed and ironed out between the two departments. Members heard that the data was processed at every level and made available to individual team members depending on their need. In response to their queries, Councillors were advised that it was difficult to assess how much time was spent on manually manipulating data. It was noted that some of the reports produced for Children’s Services were extremely complex in nature - changing them would be challenging as they had been built up over a number of years and included a number of different macros and formulas. It was confirmed that data was extracted by Business Objects and manipulated in Excel spreadsheets due to a lack of more up-to-date tools.

Kate Kelly-Talbot, Director of Service Delivery - Adult Social Work, addressed the Committee confirming that, in Adult Services, much of the reporting was statutory and a secure case management system was utilised to store data. Naveed’s team extracted the data and weekly, fortnightly and monthly reports were received on statutory operations. Bespoke dashboard style reports were also prepared for Adult Services. It was felt that all the required information was currently available to officers but not in an easily accessible format - there was a requirement to peruse several different reports in order to gain a thorough understanding. It was confirmed that dashboard brought some of this information together in a more user-friendly format, but this involved a lengthy manual exercise. Access to live data was limited hence data available to officers was at times somewhat out-of-date. Ad hoc reports on a particular issue were also prepared in collaboration with Naveed’s team as necessary.

In terms of data use, it was confirmed that a wide range of statutory returns were completed by Adult Services. Data was also used for benchmarking, to assist managers in managing their teams and, at Head of Service level, to allocate resources. It was reported that the team also needed to look at data across health and social care which was an additional challenge.

In response to their requests for clarification, Members heard that all the required data was available, but accessibility could be an issue. The data was used across the team to drive practice and performance. Learning events were held to consider timelines, resident satisfaction etc and the learning from those fed into services. The Committee was informed that, in terms of KPIs, the numbers were vast. Members enquired whether KPIs were analysed on the basis of individual members of staff / groups. It was confirmed that a range of management metrics were included in appraisals and

performance reviews. In terms of predictions, there were some patterns / trends in Adult Social Care which could be planned for, for example winter pressures and hospital discharges.

In response to further questions from the Committee, it was confirmed that Adult Services and Health and Social Care had some limited secure access to each other's data; this was a potential area of growth for the future. Members heard that reporting was regularly reviewed to ensure reports prepared / received were still relevant and of use.

Rod Smith, Head of Housing and Tenancy Management, addressed the Committee confirming that the Council's landlord service managed approximately 13,300 properties with a rent roll of about £64m a year and service charges of some £1.6m. The service dealt with the end-to-end management of tenancies and was regulated by the Social Housing Regulator hence statutory returns were a necessity.

Members were informed that data was used both operationally and strategically. Operationally, weekly and monthly automated reports were produced to support the delivery of KPIs and to assist in managing the workforce. It was vital that the income line was protected and supported. Members heard that, at the height of the pandemic, debts were increasing by some £100,000 per week. Weekly data sets were developed in collaboration with the performance team which enabled staff to target bandings of debt and high risk tenants and support tasks appropriately. Said data set was vital in supporting the stabilisation of the debt and recovery work thereafter.

In terms of empty property management, the Committee was advised that work had been undertaken with the Business Performance team to produce a visual representation of the end-to-end void process, thereby enabling officers to identify bottle necks and monitor performance / resource issues.

At strategic level, reporting was of a more bespoke nature and there was a reliance on Naveed's team to produce what was needed. An example of this related to Universal Credit implementation and roll out in the Borough. Bespoke reports had enabled the team to identify and track cases, manage debt and support tenants. Members heard that data extracts had also helped with regeneration schemes in the Hayes area.

Councillors were informed that the Landlord Service used the Business Performance Team primarily as a 'consultancy' service. The current focus was on regulatory compliance, data manipulation and modelling, benchmarking work, tenant feedback analysis, benchmarking against peers, aligning KPIs to the Regulator's expectations and constructive challenge from the consultancy service which was very helpful. It was reported that Naveed's Team was very knowledgeable, supportive and helpful but it was noted that the structure of the team was somewhat fragile with limited capacity. It was confirmed that ideally the Landlord Service would be able to offer a digital self-service approach rather than be over-reliant on Naveed and his colleagues.

In response to Members' questions, it was confirmed that the Landlord Service was well served in terms of data extraction as it only relied on two main systems – a Northgate database and a workflow system.

Members heard that Business Objects was the system used to extract information for large users of data such as Children's Social Care, Adult Social Care and Housing. There were a number of ongoing digital transformation programmes within the Council. The next step would be to bring in new tools and ways of working which was likely to

incur an additional expense.

In response to further requests for clarification, Councillors were advised that, to enable Hillingdon to compare itself with its peers, Housemark had been involved to ensure a consistent approach to benchmarking. The Council had also joined a benchmarking club for local authorities in London. The data was input into a portal and the output was interpreted by Naveed's team.

The Committee requested further information regarding gap analysis i.e. how data was used to identify the root causes of performance requiring improvement and how this information was used to address the issue. Members were advised that, in the case of the Landlord Service, gap analysis related to compliance with regulatory standards and was therefore more output based. The regulatory regime was in a state of flux, but the Service was being supported in realigning itself to meet the changing requirements of the regulator.

The Committee enquired whether it was possible to proactively plan for housing repairs such as the upgrade of boilers and re-wiring. Gary Penticost, Head of Repairs, Engineering, Planned Works and Facilities responded on this point advising the Committee that data was used to inform Planned Works programmes. Roof and boiler renewals were prioritised. The Team had access to extensive data regarding the age profiles of all the boiler stock. They knew the construction of all their properties and had EPC ratings of the vast majority of stock. Members heard that a bid had recently been submitted based on stock asset data for wave one of the Government's grant for funding solid wall construction. The key priority was to ensure the fabric of the building was properly insulated before considering heat pumps etc.

Members enquired whether some of the data that drove the major works programmes could be inaccurate given the changeover from Hillingdon Homes to Hillingdon Council. It was confirmed that there were some data issues. When inconsistencies were identified, surveys were undertaken and work programmes were prioritised accordingly.

Cathy Knubley, Head of Waste, addressed the Committee informing Members that, for her service area, data was backward looking, current and forward focussed with up to 2,035 current targets. Most targets were based in legislation under the Mayor of London's office or Defra (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs). Much of the data came from outside the Council and was based on tonnages and waste room i.e. what was being collected, how much and what was being done with the waste. Members heard that the Head of Waste chaired the West London Waste Authority Partnership meeting on a fortnightly basis which was attended by Heads of Service from 6 boroughs – Hillingdon, Harrow, Hounslow, Brent, Richmond and Ealing. At these meetings, tonnages, trends, best practice and partnership projects were discussed. Members were advised that, each month, the team input data into the Defra waste data flow analysis which compared Hillingdon to all other Councils nationally in terms of recycling percentages. Regular inhouse data was received relating to complaints, compliments, missed collections etc. The Head of Waste also prepared a monthly report for the Cabinet Member which incorporated a lot of data and statistical information. MTFE was regularly analysed to ensure the department was on track for 2021/22 savings and KPIs were submitted to Naveed's team every quarter. Members heard that waste had a high presence on social media platforms which were analysed by the Comms team. The Waste Team had also been shortlisted for a national award based on the rolling out of a food waste project. In terms of new initiatives, carbon emissions were being monitored with a view to improving these. In cab technology was being rolled out which would provide useful real time data.

In terms of data reporting relevance, the Committee was advised that, when food waste collections were introduced, daily data on missed collections had been requested initially. This had subsequently been reduced to a weekly report and finally to a monthly report now that the food waste collection service had bedded in.

Members enquired whether there was any direct link between the data extracted and the dashboard. It was confirmed that, at present, data for the CMT balanced scorecard report was input manually into a spreadsheet on a quarterly basis. Members commented that, for HMRC, the plan was for all data to be directly linked from the original records. This approach was to be recommended as it would save time and eliminate the possibility of human error when inputting data manually. Officers acknowledged that, for the CMT report, the information would ideally be automatically populated from source data, but this was not a straightforward process.

Richard Dawson, Head of Community Safety and Enforcement, addressed the Committee confirming that the main source of the data used by the team related to antisocial behaviour. Members were informed that the team was in the process of moving to a new case management system as they did not currently have access to the level of data needed. It was confirmed that the team already had access to a real-time dashboard and strategic information on past performance; however, they were unable to view details of the journey of each case - which cases had yet to be allocated, which were being investigated, which were longstanding and going through a legal process etc. It was reported that the inability to access this level of data was holding the team back operationally.

In response to Members' requests for clarification it was confirmed that the Community Safety team was relatively self-sufficient; however, Business Performance had been useful in providing trend information. The team received about 12,000 jobs per year with the majority of high-volume jobs being received during the summer months particularly in relation to noise, rubbish accumulation etc.

In response to further questions from Members it was confirmed that some of the concerns relating to ASBET which had been raised in the internal audit had been linked to problems with the case management system. The Team was now using a different system, but this was not a case management system and further improvements were still required to improve the richness of the data and encompass every aspect of a case from start to finish. An improved case management system would resolve these challenges and provide managers with greater oversight. It was confirmed that the new case management system would go live at the end of the year and would provide all the required information – a bridging system was in use at present.

It was noted that, in their reports, both the Head of Waste and the Head of Community Safety and Enforcement had included information in relation to flytipping. In response to questions from the Committee, Members were advised that the data would be used differently by the two departments with a different focus – one set of figures for investigative purposes (Community Safety) and one set to analyse trends (Waste).

All Heads of Service were asked for further clarification regarding their upward reporting and engagement with Corporate Directors and the relevant Cabinet Member(s). It was confirmed that, for Children's Services, reporting of performance against KPIs was produced on a monthly basis. Performance against the MPFF was also reported and reviewed regularly. Members heard that Children's Services were held to account by two Cabinet Members on a quarterly basis. A bespoke report was

produced which set out the journey of the child, education data, SEND information etc and a quarterly meeting was held with Councillor Palmer, Councillor O'Brien, the Chief Executive and Corporate Directors.

In the case of Waste Services, it was confirmed that monthly meetings were held with the Director and the Cabinet Member. There was also high scrutiny in conjunction with the Financial Team to ensure MPFF targets were met.

The Head of Business Performance and Monitoring advised Councillors that the CMT report was important in the context of upward reporting. The Team worked closely with Directors to determine which indicators would be reported to CMT on a quarterly basis. There were some 97 indicators and these were RAG (Red, Amber, Green) depending on direction of travel. Subsequent reports would pick up changes and remedial action could be taken to address underperformance.

The Head of Business Performance and Monitoring addressed the Committee in response to questions previously posed by the Chairman regarding the CMT balanced scorecard. It was confirmed that:

- All Members of the Corporate Management Team (Directors) attended CMT meetings which were chaired by the Chief Executive;
- Prior to the meetings, Naveed and Alison Coote (Senior Business Analyst) liaised closely with the Heads of Service and Directors to pull the narrative together. The balanced scorecard was presented by the Head of Business Performance and Monitoring. Part of the presentation focussed on exception reporting in relation to indicators that were red – this set out the context and the story behind the figures, trends, what services were doing to remedy the matter and when it was hoped that the situation would improve;
- Data was presented to CMT within 3 or 4 weeks of quarter end;
- Measures were determined in conjunction with Directors on the basis of what was important for services e.g. pressures, links to MTFF, political priorities. These measures were reviewed annually. However, if something emerged mid-year, the balanced scorecard could be amended at that time – there was room for flexibility and it was not set in stone.

Members enquired how many of the Council's 700 services were represented in the quarterly CMT reports. It was confirmed that it was difficult to specify this; however, metrics were drawn from the full spectrum of key services – Finance, Building Services, Procurement, Recycling, Repairs etc. At the meetings, Directors were given an overview which triggered conversations often within a financial context. Naveed's Team would then do additional analysis and report back. In terms of annual reviews of metrics to be reported on, Members heard that this was determined by means of a collaborative exercise within CMT. The Chief Executive would also have a view on this. Members were advised that, at the quarterly meetings, the discussion regarding the dashboard would generally last approximately 45 minutes.

**RESOLVED That:**

- 1) The Select Committee noted the information received from witnesses in relation to its Review of Performance Monitoring and Reporting in Hillingdon Council**

Gary Penticost - Head of Repairs, Engineering, Planned Works and Facilities Management and Liam Bentley - Operations Manager – Corporate and Housing Repairs and Voids, presented the report.

The Committee heard that the Repairs Service had a Direct Labour Organisation of 44 trades operatives which dealt with about 40,000 repairs requests per year. These repairs ranged from roofing defects to drainage issues, fencing, plumbing, electrical defects etc. The service had been reviewed about 4 years previously and had made very good progress since then. Minor disability adaptations work and minor fabric remedial works associated with fire safety risk assessments were being turned around quickly and it had proved to be more cost effective to use Council operatives to perform this work.

It was noted that the Head of Repairs, Engineering, Planned Works and Facilities Management would be happy to come back to the Committee at a future date to report on other statutory compliance areas within his remit, such as gas servicing, asbestos removal, legionella etc. Members heard that monthly and quarterly reports were produced for the Service Director on these areas and issues addressed accordingly. The service also worked closely with Rod Smith particularly on gas servicing contracts where access could be an issue.

In terms of KPIs, it was confirmed that Liam Bentley presented monthly updates to Gary Penticost and Perry Scott. An example was set out at Appendix A of the report. A minor correction to a figure on page 28 of the agenda pack was noted – the total repairs figure for August 2021 should read 2770 rather than 2662. It was noted that, since 2019, the number of repair requests was increasing by approximately 3,000 jobs on average year on year. This was being monitored as there may be a need to expand the Service or subcontract work to meet demand. The output of operatives was also measured – on average 5 jobs were being carried out per operative per day.

In terms of benchmarking, the Committee was informed that the Service submitted data to HouseMark which collected data from all social landlords and provided a benchmarking standard. It was noted that Hillingdon compared well against other local authorities and the average days to complete repairs, first time fix and resident satisfaction data were all above benchmark. These figures were submitted to Naveed's team for incorporation in the monthly reporting to CMT.

With regards to complaints, compliments and resident satisfaction, Members were informed that the current service was generally perceived as very good. Compliments were being received (including for work completed by apprentices) and only 0.2% of jobs completed resulted in a complaint. The learning from these complaints and compliments was being built into working practices.

The Committee was informed that Legal Disrepair matters were coming to the fore and more enquiries were being received. These were being addressed. In terms of operative productivity, over 5 jobs per operative per day were being completed which was a good figure. Monthly Toolbox Talks were held which included safety talks and 4 local apprentices had been employed. It was hoped that these apprentices would stay in post and in time replace retiring operatives.

Members heard that Oneserve / Active Housing Integration Phase 2 had gone live on 30 June 2021. This meant that residents were able to book appointments for non-urgent repairs online. In terms of materials, on average £71 was spent per repair. A full stock management system was in operation and vehicle audits were carried out to



enable a first-time fix where possible and minimise disruption to tenants.

It was confirmed that two new voids contractors had been employed in 2021 but this had not worked out hence attempts were being made to mobilise additional resourcing.

Minor adaptations had been transferred to the Repairs Team in April 2020. This was going well and operatives were generally able to affect repairs quickly. It was reported that, overall, the team was performing very well.

In terms of data, particularly in relation to communal repairs, Members enquired how resident satisfaction was measured. It was confirmed that a follow up call was made once a repair had been completed to ascertain whether the resident was satisfied with the work. In terms of communal repairs, the reporter did not always leave a name and contact details, but a follow up call would be made whenever possible. Moreover, pre- and post-work photos were taken. Unfortunately, it was not possible to confirm what percentage of communal repairs were followed up on.

The Committee enquired whether the increase in the number of repairs could be attributed to a lack of maintenance. Members were informed that there had been a number of issues in relation to planned programming in the past but the backlog was being addressed. It was acknowledged that a lot of money had been spent a number of years ago on new kitchens etc but some of these things were now coming to the end of their useful life and needed replacing. Repairs' data was being used to inform planned programming. Members heard that a number of roofs had been replaced around the Borough and the Service was preparing for a number of significant programmes in the future. 250 kitchens, 250 bathrooms and 60 roofs were to be replaced in the current year and painting programmes were being prioritised for the following year. It was reported that programmes were to be ramped up over the next couple of years to address the backlog. It was acknowledged that approximately 20% of the Service's data was suspect – this was being assessed on an ongoing basis and attempts were being made to target replacements to the best benefit of all.

In response to Members' requests for clarification, it was confirmed that between 35,000 – 40,000 repairs were expected in the current year. Repairs requests had increased during the pandemic with people spending more time at home. It was hoped that the figure would not reach 40,000 as this would impact on budgets, resources etc. It was hoped that numbers would be reduced to approximately 33,000 at some point in the future.

Members enquired whether a Plan B was in place to address the challenges of a potential new wave of the pandemic. The Committee was advised that the Service was still operating under Plan A which included keeping the operative workforce split and trying to keep people apart as much as possible by working on a rota basis. Managers attempted to meet operatives outside when possible and some people were still working from home.

In response to questions from the Committee regarding standard repair times to measure operatives' productivity, it was explained that the Service operated a priority system – for an emergency the team would respond within 4 hours and for urgent cases they would respond within 24 hours. Normally about an hour was allocated per job but this was flexible. It was important to allow a reasonable amount of time per job to enable operatives to get from one job to another without the need to rush their work. Members enquired whether measures were in place to set out standard times in which different types of jobs were expected to be completed. It was confirmed that such

measures were in place. Operatives were targeted to the smaller jobs while larger jobs were subbed out to specialist support contractors.

Councillors requested further clarification regarding the 1800 jobs in the WIP (work in progress). It was reported that the WIP had increased during the pandemic but was now slowly decreasing – the aim would be to have approximately 1500 jobs in the WIP (2-3 weeks of work). Tenants were kept informed of progress.

The Committee enquired whether proactive repairs could reduce the number of repair jobs required. It was noted that this was being reviewed. Members heard that a large number of repairs were required on certain estates which were being regenerated. Others needed planned works which, once completed, would hopefully reduce demand. It was anticipated that there would be a decline in numbers of repairs over the next two years. Tenants would also be reminded of their responsibilities in respect of repairs. Members were informed that the Team comprised 44 operatives and a management team.

In response to further questions from the Committee, it was confirmed that communication with the resident was managed by the Contact Centre who set up an appointment at the first point of contact. It was sometimes necessary to amend this appointment due to resource issues. Tenants were reminded about appointments to assist in gaining access effectively.

**RESOLVED That:**

- 1) The Repairs and Maintenance report be noted.**

39. **FORWARD PLAN** (*Agenda Item 7*)

In respect of the Forward Plan and at the request of Members, it was agreed that Democratic Services would request the attendance of Kevin Byrne at the November 2021 Select Committee meeting to provide further information in relation to the item on the Forward Plan entitled Financial Assistance to Hillingdon's Local Voluntary Organisations. The Committee was informed that additional information in respect of an item on the Forward Plan could be requested but the Committee did not automatically have the right to have sight of a report prior to the Cabinet Meeting; this would depend on officers' capacity to prepare a draft report in time for the Select Committee and such a report would also need to be approved by the Cabinet Member. It was agreed that, on this occasion, Kevin Byrne would be requested to report on the basis of the previous year's information.

**RESOLVED That:**

- 1) Democratic Services request the attendance of Kevin Byrne at the November 2021 Select Committee meeting to provide further information in relation to the item on the Forward Plan entitled Financial Assistance to Hillingdon's Local Voluntary Organisations; and**
- 2) The Forward Plan be noted.**

40. **WORK PROGRAMME** (*Agenda Item 8*)

**RESOLVED That:**

	<b>1) The Work Programme be noted.</b>
	The meeting, which commenced at 7.30 pm, closed at 9.35 pm.

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Liz Penny on [epenny@hillingdon.gov.uk](mailto:epenny@hillingdon.gov.uk) or Tel: 01895 250185. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.